



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/709,072 | 04/12/2004 | Chia-Hung Lin | WTNG-00600 | 3071 |
| 34051 | 7590 | 02/15/2008 | EXAMINER | |
| Stevens Law Group 1754 Technology Drive Suite #226 San Jose, CA 95110 | | | SIM, YONG H | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2629 | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/15/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/709,072 | LIN, CHIA-HUNG | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Yong Sim | 2629 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Amr Awad.

(3) David Stevens.

(2) Yong Sim.

(4) Faye H. Teng.

Date of Interview: 05 February 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 6, 12 and 13.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ben-David et al. (WO 01/95544), Marshall (US 5,774,196) and Takeuchi (US 2002/0060754).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants proposed to amend the claims to clarify the claim language. Examiner notified Applicants of possible 112 issue regarding the newly proposed claim 17. Examiner also stated that the prior art of record would still read on the newly presented claim 16.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

AMR A. AWAD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required